

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/040,509		KÁTZ		R	233-134	
— 022249 LYON & LYON LLP		LM02/1112	[Ð	EXAMINER	
			2	W00,S		
SUITE 4700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
433 WEST F	IFTH STREET S CA 90071-	2066		2743	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/040,509

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Stella Woo

Group Art Unit 2743

Katz



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 1, 1999					
X This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exte 37 CFR 1.136(a).	t to expire floriting, or third days, which the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claim	is/are pending in the applicat				
☐ Claim(s) <u>29-42</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed.				
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, P ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	PTO-948				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Application/Control Number: 09/040,509

Art Unit: 2743

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The request filed on September 23, 1999 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/040,509 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the publication entitled "The AT&T Multi-Mode Voice Systems Full Spectrum Solutions for Speech Processing Applications" (hereinafter "Hester") for the same reasons given in the last Office action and repeated below.

Regarding claims 29-31, 33-35, Hester discloses a process including the steps of: receiving said call data signals (DNIS; page 3, second paragraph); providing verbal prompts (via voice response unit; Fig. 1);

receiving data (via Touch-Tone input or recognized voice input; page 1, second paragraph; page 2, last paragraph; page 6, Application Example);

providing a data base computer (host computer with customer database; page 3, third paragraph) including verification means (note credit card verification, sales order entry, etc.; page 1, first paragraph). Hester clearly provides for various applications in which data received

÷

Application/Control Number: 09/040,509

Art Unit: 2743

from callers would have to be stored in an identifiable relationship to the callers, namely, reservations and sales order entry (page 1, first paragraph).

Regarding claim 32, note attendant line interface (Fig. 1).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 36-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hester in view of Barger, Jr. et al. (Barger) for the same reasons applied to claims 36-40 in the last Office action and repeated below.

Hester differs from claims 36-42 in that it does not specify defining a limit on use. However, Barger teaches the desirability of defining a limit on the number of uses by identified callers in an interactive voice-telephony system (col. 11, lines 34-47) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the limited use feature, as taught by Barger, within the interactive voice-telephone system of Hester.

6. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/040,509. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/040,509

Art Unit: 2743

this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Art Unit: 2743

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395 and can normally be reached from 6:30 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. on Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and from 6:30 a.m. until 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday and Thursday.

November 11, 1999

STELLA WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER